UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx	
LIONEL CADELIS, Plaintiff,	Civil Case No.
-against-	COMPLAINT
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,	Plaintiff Requests
Defendant.	A Jury Trial

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, The Law Office of Jay H. Tanenbaum, as and for his Complaint, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief:

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS

1. Plaintiff, a citizen of the Country of Canada, was injured in a bus accident while a passenger on a bus traveling through Elizabethtown, New York on his way to Montreal Canada.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under 28 U.S.C. 1332 (a) as the amount in controversy is greater than Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars.
- 3. Venue is set forth in the Northern District of New York Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(a)(2) as this is the Judicial District in which a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred.

PARTIES

- 4. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was, and still is, a resident of the Country of Canada.
- 5. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, **GREYHOUND LINES, INC.**, was, and still is, a foreign corporation duly licensed to transact business within the State of New York.
 - 6. Plaintiff Demands a Jury Trial of all matters relevant to this proceeding.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

- 7. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, **GREYHOUND LINES**, **INC.**, was the owner of a bus, a model DL3, bearing bus number 4014.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, **GREYHOUND LINES**, **INC.**, operated the aforesaid bus.
- 9. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, **GREYHOUND LINES, INC.**, managed the aforesaid bus.
- 10. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, **GREYHOUND LINES, INC.**, controlled the aforesaid motor vehicle.
- 11. On August 28, 2006, a bus owned and operated by defendant, **GREYHOUND** LINES, INC., transported passengers from New York to Montreal, when the bus overturned on the Adirondack Northway just before Exit 31 near Elizabethtown, New York.
 - 12. That as a result of the aforesaid contact, Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, was injured.
- 13. That the aforesaid occurrence was caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the Defendant without any fault or negligence on the part of the Plaintiff contributing thereto.
- 14. That Defendant was negligent, careless and reckless in the ownership, operation, management, maintenance, supervision, use and control of the aforesaid bus and the Defendant was otherwise negligent, careless, reckless and grossly negligent under the circumstances then and there prevailing.
- 15. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, sustained severe and permanent personal injuries; and Plaintiff **LIONEL CADELIS**, was otherwise damaged.
- 16. That Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, sustained serious injuries as defined by §5102(d) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 17. That Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, sustained serious injuries and economic loss greater than basic economic loss as defined by §5104 of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 18. That Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, is not seeking to recover any damages for which Plaintiff has been reimbursed by no-fault insurance and/or for which no-fault insurance is obligated

to reimburse Plaintiff. Plaintiff is seeking to recover only those damages not recoverable through nofault insurance under the facts and circumstances in this action.

- 19. That this action falls within one or more of the exceptions as set forth in CPLR §1602.
- 20. That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff, **LIONEL CADELIS**, has been damaged in a sum of Two and One-Half Million (\$2,500,000.00) Dollars.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant herein in the amount of Two and One-Half Million (2,500,000.00), or such other amount as the trier of fact determines is appropriate but not less than Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: Nassau, New York August 6, 2007

MICHAEL B. ZARANSKY (MZ-2300)

OF COUNSEL TO THE

LAW OFFICES OF JAY H. TANENBAUM

Attorney for Plaintiff

110 Wall Street, 16th Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 422-1765

Our File No. 06J-0081

CHAFMAN ZAKANSKI LLF

d I I AMSN V d V Z	Z IN A MICH A UTO
Index No. CIVIL CASE NO.	Year 20
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	A UND MU
LIONEL CADELIS,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	
GREYOUND LINES, INC.	
Defendants	
COMPLA	AINT
CHAPMAN ZAI	
Attorney(s) for Of Counsel Office and post of the Counsel	
114 OLD COUN SUITE MINEOLA, NEW (516) 741	E 680 W YORK 11501
То	
Attorney(s) for	
Service of a copy of the within	is hereby admitted.
Dated,	
Attorney(s) for	
Sir: Please take notice □ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of a	ed court on 20
duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within name NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of	f which the within is a true copy will be presented for
settlement to the HON.	one of the judges
of the within named Court, at on the day of 2	20 at M.
Dated,	
COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO 22 NYCRR §130-1.1-a	Yours, etc.
To the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the within document(s) and contentions contained herein are not frivolous as defined in 22 NYCRR	CHAPMAN ZARANSKY LLP Attorney(s) for
§130-1.1-a.	Office and Post Office Address 114 OLD COUNTRY ROAD
То	SUITE 680
Attorney(s) for	MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501 (516) 741-6601

Attorney(s) for

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
LIONEL CADELIS			GREYOUND LIN	NES, INC.	
				,	
(b) County of Residence of		nada	County of Residence of		Dallas, Texas
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE, INITANIE	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	
			1	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name.	Address, and Telephone Number)	10005	Attorneys (If Known)		
	enbaum, 110 Wall Street, 16th Floo	r NY NY			
(212) 422-1		., ,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI				RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1	
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	Citiz	ten of Another State	2	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Iter	n III)			
	:		en or Subject of a soreign Country	3	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL		RFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL 310 Airplane 362 Personal 362 Personal 363 Personal 364 Personal 365 Personal 366 Personal 367 Personal 367 Personal 368 Personal 368 Personal 368 Personal 369 Personal 369 Personal 369 Personal 369 Personal 369 Personal 369 Personal 360 Personal 36		620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Ma		625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 365 Persona ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product		of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbesto	s Personal 🔲 (640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Pr Liability Liability		650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL I	PROPERTY	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other F Liability ☐ 371 Truth in		690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	■ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other P		710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		Damage Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)).	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability ☐ 385 Property ☐ 360 Other Personal Product		730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury PROVES PROVIDED B	ETITIONS O	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER P ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motion:		790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Cor Accommodations ☐ 530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death F	enalty		20000	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandar Employment ☐ 550 Civil R				Under Equal Access to Justice
	Employment			***************************************	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other				State Statutes
Commence of the Commence of th	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		S-W		
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)				Appeal to District
■1 Original □ 2 R	emoved from 3 Remanded from		nstated or 5 frans another	ferred from er district	
Proceeding S	tate Court Appellate Cour Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under white 28 U.S.C. 1332(a)(2) and 28				i Judgilient
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause: Plaintiff was a passenger on a				lirondack, Northway
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS			The state of the s	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ACTION A	DEMAND \$ 2,500,0	JURY DEMAND	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATUI	RE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD		
09/21/2007					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	distribution of the state of th			<u> </u>	
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYIN	NG IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
MAN DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	Approach Million and Company of the		ROAD CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON		The state of the s

United S	TATES DISTRI	CT COURT
NORTHERN	District of	NEW YORK
LIONEL CADELIS		
V. GREYHOUND LINES, INC.	SU	JMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
	CASE NUM	MBER: 8:07CV0994 LEK-DRH
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		
GREYHOUND LINES, INC 15110 NORTH DALLAS P DALLAS, TEXAS 75248-4 % CT CORPORATION SY 111 EIGHTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK	ARKWAY 635 STEM	
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED an	d required to serve on PI	AINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
THE LAW OFFICE OF JA 110 WALL STREET, 16TH NEW YORK, NEW YORK	I FLOOR	
an answer to the complaint which is served on y of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of	service. If you fail to do answer that you serve o	so, judgment by default will be taken against you
Clerk of Court	DISTRICT COLUMN	9/21/2007
CLERK	DATE	
s/P. Aton		

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

]	RETURN OF SERVICE	
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DATE	
NAME OF SERVER <i>(PRINT)</i>	TITLE	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate metho	od of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place	where served:	
discretion then residing therein.	ng house or usual place of abode with a person of s	suitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons and	d complaint were left:	
☐ Returned unexecuted:		
☐ Other (specify):		
	TEMENT OF SERVICE FEES	
TRAVEL SERVICES		TOTAL \$0.00
DI	ECLARATION OF SERVER	
contained in the Return of Service and Statemer Executed on	er the laws of the United States of America that the nt of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	e foregoing information
Ā	Address of Server	

(1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Print Save As... Export as FDF Retrieve FDF File Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NOTICE

THE ATTACHED FILING ORDER IS A TIME SENSITIVE DOCUMENT

This filing order is to be served on all parties to the action along with the complaint or petition for removal within <u>sixty (60) days</u> of filing this action.

The attached Civil Case Management Plan must be completed and filed with the clerk no later than **ten** (10) **days** prior to the conference date referenced below.

CONFERENCE DATE/TIME: January 23, 2008, at 10:00 a.m.

CONFERENCE LOCATION: Albany, New York

BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE: DAVID R. HOMER

CONTENTS:

- General Order #25 (Filing Order)
- Case Management Plan (Attachment B)
- Case Assignment/Motion Schedules and Filing Locations (Attachment C)
- Consent Form to Proceed before U.S. Magistrate Judge (Attachment D)

NOTE: IF THIS IS A QUALIFYING CONTRACT, TORT OR NON-PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IT WILL BE DIVERTED INTO THE DISTRICT'S NON-BINDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM (ADR) FOR Arbitration, Mediation or Early Neutral Evaluation. The Clerk will indicate the appropriate track based on the Nature of Suit.

X ADR Track

Form Date - 06/13/2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GENERAL ORDER #25

I. PURPOSE

It is the policy of this court to help litigants resolve their civil disputes in a just, timely and cost-effective manner. To that end, this court has adopted an Expense and Delay Reduction Plan in accordance with the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. This will tailor the level of individualized case management needs to such criteria as case complexity, and the amount of time reasonably needed to prepare the case for trial.

II. SCOPE

This order applies to all civil cases filed in this court except: multi-district litigation, cases remanded from the appellate court, reinstated and reopened cases, and cases in the following nature of suit categories indicated on the civil cover sheet: Prisoner Petitions(510-550), Forfeiture/Penalty (610-690), Bankruptcy (422-423), Social Security (861-865) Contracts (only nos. 150: Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement of Judgment 151: Medicare Act, 152: Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits, and other contract actions which involve the collection of debts owed to the United States), Real Property (only no. 220: Foreclosure, and other Statutes (only no. 900: Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice).

*Note - When the Court deems it appropriate, Rule 16 Scheduling Conferences will be held in the above excepted actions.

The Court has adopted the guidelines of civility as outlined in the New York State Bar Association Guidelines on Civility in Litigation, a copy of which is available on the courts web-site at www.nynd.uscourts.gov.

III. SERVICE

A. Timing:

When serving a Complaint or Notice of Removal, the filing party shall serve on all other parties a copy of this General Order and the attached materials. Service of process should be completed within **Sixty** (60) days from the initial filing date. This expedited service is necessary to fulfill the dictates of the Civil Justice Reform Act Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court and to ensure adequate time for pretrial discovery and motion practice. However, in no event shall service of process be completed after the time specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4, or any other Rule or Statute which may govern service of process in a given action.

B. Filing Proof(s) of Service:

Proof(s) of service of process are to be filed with the clerk's office no later than five (5) days after service of the complaint or notice of removal with a copy of this General Order.

C. Non Compliance with Sixty (60) Day Service Requirement:

In the event that the filing party cannot comply with the Sixty (60) day service requirement, that party shall immediately notify the assigned Magistrate Judge and request an adjournment of the initial Rule 16 case management conference date contained in the attached Civil Case Management Plan.

If an adjournment of the conference date is granted, it shall be the responsibility of the filing party to notify all parties to the action of the new date, time and location for the case management conference. Proof of service of such notice shall then be immediately filed with the clerk's office.

IV. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

Any party who, after the filing of the original complaint or notice of removal, causes a new party to be joined in the action shall promptly serve on that new party a copy of General Order 25 along with any additional Uniform Pretrial Scheduling Order that has been entered by the court.

V. REMOVED CASES

In cases removed to this court from a state court, the removing defendant(s) shall serve on the plaintiff(s) and all other parties, at the time of service of the notice of removal, a copy of this General Order with the attached materials. The filing of a motion for remand does not relieve the moving party of any obligation under this General Order unless the assigned judge or magistrate judge specifically grants such relief.

VI. TRANSFERRED CASES

The clerk shall serve a copy of this General Order on all parties that have appeared in any action transferred to this district. The clerk shall set a return date for the initial Rule 16 case management conference on the form attached to the General Order. It shall be the obligation of the plaintiff or plaintiff's counsel to arrange for completion of the attached Case Management Plan and to file the Plan with the clerk and to serve this General Order upon any party who had not appeared in the action at the time of transfer.

Attorneys appearing in transferred cases are reminded of their obligation to be properly admitted to this district in accordance with Local Rule 83.1.

VII. MATERIALS INCLUDED WITH THIS GENERAL ORDER PACKET

- A) Notice of Initial Rule 16 Case Management Conference
- B) Civil Case Management Plan
- C) Case Assignment Form
- D) Notice and Consent Form to Exercise of Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge

VIII. ADR PROGRAMS

It is the mission of this court to do everything it can to help parties resolve their disputes as fairly, quickly, and efficiently as possible. We offer a wide selection of non-binding alternative dispute resolution (ADR) options - each of which provides different kinds of services so that parties can use the procedure that best fits the particular circumstances of their case. Selected Contract, Tort and non-prisoner Civil Rights cases will be diverted into Court-Annexed non-binding Arbitration, Mediation or Early Neutral Evaluation. The Northern District of New York alternative dispute resolution programs are governed by Local Rules 83.7 (Arbitration), 83.11-1, (Mediation), and 83.12-1 (Early Neutral Evaluation). The parties are encouraged to discuss the ADR alternatives in advance of the Rule 16 Pretrial Conference. At the Rule 16 Pretrial Conference. the assigned Magistrate Judge or District Court Judge will assist the parties in the selection of an appropriate ADR alternative.

In addition to Arbitration, Mediation and Early Neutral Evaluation, the court also offers the following ADR processes:

A) Settlement Conferences:

The parties are advised that the court will honor a request for a settlement conference at <u>any</u> stage of the proceeding. A representative of the parties with the authority to bind the parties must be present with counsel or available by telephone at any settlement conference.

B) Consent to Jury or Court Trial Before A United States Magistrate Judge:

By written stipulation, the parties to any civil action may elect to have a magistrate judge (instead of the assigned Article III judge) conduct all proceedings in any civil case, including presiding over a jury or bench trial. A trial before a magistrate judge is governed by the same procedural and evidentiary rules as trial before a district judge. The right to appeal is automatically preserved to the United States Court of Appeals under the same standards which govern appeals from an Article III judge. Parties often consent to resolution of their civil disputes by magistrate judge bench or jury

trial because magistrate judges have less crowded calendars.

IX. DISCOVERY

- **A.** <u>Discovery Motions:</u> Prior to bringing a discovery dispute to a Magistrate Judge, the parties must confer in good faith in accordance with the provisions of Local Rule 7.1(d). In addition, no non-dispositive or discovery motions should be presented to the Court unless authorized by the Magistrate Judge after communication with the Magistrate Judges' chambers.
- **B.** <u>Filing Discovery:</u> Parties are directed <u>not</u> to file discovery material unless it is being filed in accordance with Local Rule 26.2.

For additional information on local requirements related to depositions and discovery please refer to Section V. of the Local Rules of this court.

X. MOTIONS

A. <u>Motion Return Dates:</u> Please refer to the attached case assignment form for a complete listing of the motion return dates for the judges and magistrate judges of this court.

For additional information on local requirements related to motion practice, please refer to Local Rule 7.1.

XI. CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Except in actions exempted under Section II of this order, or when otherwise ordered by the court, the parties shall as soon as practicable, meet to jointly address each item contained in the attached Case Management Plan packet. The completed plan is to be filed with the clerk not later than **ten** (10) **days** prior to the conference date. The NOTICE setting the date, time, and location for the initial Rule 16 conference with the court is included as part of this filing order.

The Civil Justice Reform Act Plan of this court requires the court to set "early, firm" trial dates, such that the trial is scheduled to occur within eighteen (18) months after the filing of the complaint, unless a judicial officer certifies that (I) the demands of the case and its complexity make such a trial date incompatible with serving the ends of justice; or (II) the trial cannot reasonably be held within such time because of the complexity of the case or the number or complexity of pending criminal cases.

XII. ELECTRONIC FILING

As of January 1, 2004, all documents submitted for filing by attorneys admitted to practice in the Northern District of New York shall be filed electronically using the CM/ECF system. Refer to General Order #22 for procedures for filing documents electronically. Attorneys must be registered for both PACER and CM/ECF. Consult the CM/ECF section of the courts web-site at www.nynd.uscourts.gov for PACER registration, CM/ECF registration, CM/ECF training dates, and General Order #22.

REVISED GENERAL ORDER #25 Dated: June 13, 2007

s/

Hon. Norman A. Mordue. Chief U.S. District Judge

G-25.ord - FRM Date: 06/13/07

The 3/30/95 revision clarifies the time frame for filing the case management plan. The revision also includes a provision for issuing G-25 orders on excepted actions when the court deems it appropriate to schedule a Rule 16 conference.

The 3/26/97 revision expands the scope of ADR programs offered by the Northern District of New York and removes the reference to General Order #41 which was incorporated into Local Rule 7.1(b)1 on January 1, 1997.

The 11/30/00 revision allows for the inclusion of non-prisoner civil rights cases in the ADR program.

The $04 \slash\hspace{-0.05cm} 04 \slash\hspace{-0.05cm} 01 \slash\hspace{-0.05cm} 02$ revision modifies Section IX - Discovery motions.

The 06/18/04 revision adds Section XII - Electronic Filing.

The 06/13/2007 revision includes a modification to the civil case management plan document attached to this General Order - in re: Electronic Discovery.

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Form Date - 06/13/2007

5) PROPOSED DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL: The action will be ready to proceed
to trial on or before theday of, It is anticipated that the trial will take approximately days to complete. The parties request that the trial be held in
, N.Y. (The proposed date for the commencement of trial must be within 18 months
of the filing date).
6) HAVE THE PARTIES FILED A JURY DEMAND:(YES) /(NO).
7) DOES THE COURT HAVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION? ARE THE PARTIES SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S JURISDICTION? HAVE ALL PARTIES BEEN SERVED?
8) WHAT ARE THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS AND DEFENDANT'S DEFENSES (INCLUDE COUNTERCLAIMS & CROSSCLAIMS, IF APPLICABLE)?
9) WHAT FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES ARE GENUINELY IN DISPUTE?
10) CAN THE ISSUES IN LITIGATION BE NARROWED BY AGREEMENT OR BY MOTIONS? ARE THERE DISPOSITIVE OR PARTIALLY DISPOSITIVE ISSUES APPROPRIATE FOR DECISION ON MOTION?
11) WHAT SPECIFIC RELIEF DO THE PARTIES SEEK? WHAT ARE THE DAMAGES SOUGHT?

12) DISCOVERY PLAN:

Α.	Mandatory	Disclosures
----	------------------	-------------

The j	parties will exchange the mandatory disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(I) on or re
В.	Subjects of Disclosure
The p	parties jointly agree that discovery will be needed to address the following subjects:
С.	<u>Discovery Sequence</u>
	ribe the parties' understanding regarding the timing of the discovery, and state whether inticipated that discovery will be phased to address different issues in stages.
D.	Written Discovery
33, 3 whet	ribe the written discovery demands which the parties contemplate serving under Rules 4 and 36, including when they will be promulgated, the areas to be covered, and her there is any need for any party to exceed the number of interrogatories permitted r Rule 33.

Set forth the parties' expectations regarding depositions, including the approximate number to be taken, their location, a general description of the deponents, and an indication of whether any non-party fact depositions are anticipated.
F. <u>Experts</u>
Set forth the parties' expectations regarding the retention of experts, and identify any particular issues to be addressed by the court concerning the retention and exchange of the information regarding experts, including whether the parties seek a variance from the expert disclosure requirements of the form uniform pretrial scheduling order typically issued by the court (i.e., initial expert disclosure at least ninety days, responsive expert disclosures at least forty-five days, and rebuttal reports due at least thirty days, before the close of discovery).
G. <u>Electronic Discovery</u>
Set forth the parties' understanding and expectations regarding discovery of electronically stored information. This description should include any agreements reached with respect to the retention of electronically stored information and the manner in which it will be produced, if requested. The parties should also identify any agreements regarding the manner in which electronically stored information subject to claims of privilege or work product protection will be handled, and whether a court order will be requested, either on stipulation or otherwise, to address this issue. If an agreement has been reached on the entry of such an order, provide a brief description of the provisions which will be included in a proposed order.

H. <u>Protective Orders</u>

	ne parties anticipate requesting a protective order from the court pursuant to Rule 26©, cribe the basis for the request and nature of the proposed protective order.	
	Anticipated Issues Requiring Court Intervention vide a brief description of any discovery related issues which, the parties reasonably cipate, may require court intervention.	
MMARIES	OSSIBLE TO REDUCE THE LENGTH OF TRIAL BY STIPULATIONS, SOR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVICLE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL?	
MMARIES T FEASIB	S OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVI	
MMARIES T FEASIB ARE THE	S OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVI SLE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL?	
MMARIES T FEASIB ARE THE	S OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVISIE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL? ERE RELATED CASES PENDING BEFORE THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT? S ACTIONS, WHEN AND HOW WILL THE CLASS BE CERTIFIED? RE THE PROSPECTS FOR SETTLEMENT? Please circle below the prospect for se	DEM
MMARIES T FEASIB ARE THE	S OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVI- BLE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL? ERE RELATED CASES PENDING BEFORE THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT? S ACTIONS, WHEN AND HOW WILL THE CLASS BE CERTIFIED?	DEM

HOW CAN SETTLEMENT EFFORTS BE ASSISTED?

(Do not indicate any monetary amounts at this time, settlement will be explored by the Magistrate Judge at the time of the initial status conference)

COMPLETE QUESTION 17 ONLY IF YOUR FILING ORDER COVER SHEET WAS CHECKED AS AN ADR TRACK CASE. THE PROGRAMS LISTED BELOW ARE COURT-ANNEXED AND NON-BINDING.

17) IF YOUR CASE WAS SELECTED AS A QUALIFYING CONTRACT, TORT, OR NON-PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS ACTION, PLEASE SELECT THE PREFERRED ADR METHOD.

	ARBITRATIO	N		
	MEDIATION			
	EARLY NEUT	TRAL EVALUATION		
*******	***********	********	·**********	*****
	. P. 26(f) a meeting was held on _			and was
attended by:		(Date)	(Place)	
	for plaintiff(s)			
	for defendant(s)			
			(p	arty name)
	for defendant(s)			
			(n	arty name)

At the Rule 16(b) conference, the Court will issue an order directing the future proceedings in this action. The parties are advised that failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(f).

Please detach this case management plan form and return the completed form to the clerk for filing at least ten (10) days in advance of the conference date.

Case Management Plan Form Date - 06/13/2007

CASE ASSIGNMENT FORM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CIVIL ACTION NUMBER <u>07-CV-0994, LEK/DRH</u>

ALL CORRESPONDENCE AND FILINGS SHOULD BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE ASSIGNED JUDGE AND MAGISTRATE JUDGE IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE CIVIL ACTION NUMBER. (IE: CIVIL ACTION NO 5:02-CV-0123, FJS-GJD)

DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER #22.

ACTION ASSIGNED TO THE JUDGE AND MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHECKED BELOW:

<u>X</u>	JUDGE LAWRENCE E. KAHN	INITIALS (LEK)	
<u>X</u>	MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAVID R. HOMER	(DRH)	

PRO SE LITIGANTS: SEND ALL ORIGINAL PAPERS TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE LISTED BELOW:

Clerk, U.S. District Court James T. Foley U.S. Courthouse 445 Broadway Albany NY 12207-2936

All papers filed with the Court must conform to Local Rule 10.1

~ COUNSEL ~

ALL DOCUMENTS SHALL BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY ON THE COURT'S CM/ECF SYSTEM

PLEASE REFER TO GENERAL ORDER #22
FOR PROCEDURES FOR FILING DOCUMENTS ELECTRONICALLY

ALL NON-DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS ARE TO BE MADE RETURNABLE ON A SUBMIT BASIS BEFORE THE ASSIGNED MAGISTRATE JUDGE. *PLEASE REFER TO LOCAL RULE 7.1(B)1.* ALL MOTIONS FILED AND MADE RETURNABLE BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGES WILL BE TAKEN ON A SUBMIT BASIS UNLESS: THE PARTIES REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT AND/OR THE COURT DIRECTS THE PARTIES TO APPEAR FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS, PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAVID E. PEEBLES REQUIRES ORAL ARGUMENT ON ALL MOTIONS UNLESS THE COURT DIRECTS OTHERWISE.

SENIOR JUDGE McCURN AND SENIOR JUDGE MUNSON WILL NOT HAVE REGULAR MOTION DAYS DURING THE MONTH OF AUGUST. MOTIONS MAY NOT BE FILED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE COURT DURING THESE PERIODS.

For more specific schedules please visit our website at:

www.nynd.uscourts.gov

MONTHLY MOTION SCHEDULES

JUDGE LAWRENCE E. KAHN

9:30 A.M.- 1ST AND 3RD FRIDAY OF EACH MONTH AT ALBANY. - All Motions ON SUBMIT unless otherwise notified by the Court. Any request for oral argument must be submitted to the Court, in writing, no later than 10 days prior to the motion return date.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAVID R. HOMER

9:30 A.M.- 3RD THURSDAY OF EACH MONTH AT ALBANY.

CONSENT TO THE EXERCISE OF CIVIL JURISDICTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 636©, you are hereby notified that the United States Magistrate Judges of this district court, in addition to their other duties, may, upon consent of all the parties in a civil case, conduct any or all proceedings in the case, including a jury or non jury trial, and order the entry of a final judgment.

You should be aware that your decision to consent to the referral of your case to a United States Magistrate Judge for disposition is entirely voluntary and should be indicated by counsel endorsing the attached consent form for the plaintiff(s) and defendant(s). If the form is executed by all counsel for the parties (or by the parties if appearing pro se), it should be communicated solely to the clerk of the district court. ONLY if all the parties to the case consent to the reference to a magistrate judge will either the judge or magistrate judge to whom the case has been assigned be informed of your decision.

Your opportunity to have your case disposed of by a magistrate judge is subject to the calendar requirements of the court. Accordingly, the district judge to whom your case is assigned must approve the reference of the case to a magistrate judge for disposition.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. Section 636© (3), an appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate judge will be taken to the United States Court of Appeals for this judicial circuit in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court. See Also L.R. 72.2(b)(5).

Copies of the consent form are available in any office of the clerk of the court and on the court webpage at www.nynd.uscourts.gov

ATTACHED FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION IS A BLANK CONSENT FORM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Northern District of New York

Lionel Cadelis	NOTICE, CONSENT, AND ORDER OF REFERENCE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
VS Greyhound Lines, Inc.	Case Number: 07-CV-0994, LEK/DRH

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636©, and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, you are notified that a United States magistrate judge of this district court is available to conduct any or all proceedings in this case including a jury or nonjury trial, and to order the entry of a final judgment. Exercise of this jurisdiction by a magistrate judge is, however, permitted only if all parties voluntarily consent.

You may, without adverse substantive consequences, withhold your consent, but this will prevent the court's jurisdiction from being exercised by a magistrate judge. If any party withholds consent, the identity of the parties consenting or withholding consent will not be communicated to any magistrate judge or to the district judge to whom the case has been assigned.

An appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate judge shall be taken directly to the United States court of appeals for this judicial circuit in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of this district court.

CONSENT TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.§636© and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, the parties in this case consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct any and all proceedings in this case, including the trial, order the entry of a final judgment, and conduct all post-judgment proceedings.

Part	y Represented	Signatures	Date
	_		
	OI	RDER OF REFERENCE	

IT IS ORDERED that this case be referred to **DAVID R. HOMER** United States Magistrate Judge, to conduct all proceedings and order the entry of judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636© and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73.

Date	United States District Judge

NOTE: RETURN THIS FOR TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT <u>ONLY IF</u> ALL PARTIES HAVE CONSENTED ON THIS FORM TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

ADR NOTICE TO LITIGANTS

THIS NOTICE SHOULD BE SERVERD WITH THE GENERAL ORDER 25 FILING PACKET, ALONG WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT ON THE DEFENDANTS.

This action has been identified for tracking in the court-annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Program (ADR).

Designated Nature of Suite Codes in Contract, Tort and Non-Prisoner Civil Rights Cases as follows:

<u>Contract</u> 110-140, 160-195

<u>Torts</u> 310-385

Non-Prisoner Civil Rights 440-444

Parties must confer prior to the status conference in order to:

- 1. Complete the proposed case management plan:
- 2. Select an ADR program;
- 3. File the proposed plan at least 10 days before the conference.

During the conference, the Magistrate Judge will discuss the case management plan, ADR programs and assist the parties in their selection.

LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK

By: April Hudson, ADR Administrator (315)234-8558

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW	YORK	·¥
LIONEL CADELIS,	Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH)
-against-		
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,	Defendants.	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. RULE 7.1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- A

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the defendant, GREYHOUND LINES, INC. ("GLI"), by its attorneys, Fabiani Cohen & Hall, LLP, as and for its Disclosure Statement Pursuant to F.R.C.P. Rule 7.1 sets forth as follows:

GLI is a Delaware corporation. Laidlaw Transportation Holdings, Inc. ("LTHI") owns 100% of the stock of GLI. Laidlaw Transportation, Inc. ("LTI") owns 100% of the stock of LTH. Laidlaw International Inc., owns 100% of the stock of LTI.

Dated:

New York, New York November 21, 2007

THE TANKS AND A PERSON OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE CONTRACTOR ADDRESS O

Yours, etc.,

Kevin B. Pollak

Northern District Bar Number: 514693

FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant GREYHOUND LINES, INC. 570 Lexington Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 644-4420 TO: Office of J. H. Tanenbaum Attorneys for Plaintiff 110 Wall Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10005 Tel: (212)422-1765

> Chapman, Zaransky Law Firm Attorneys for Plaintiff 114 Old Country Road, Suite 680 Mineola, New York 11501 Tel: (516)741-6601

Lionel Cadelis v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., 8:07-CV-00994-LEK-DRH - Our File No. 818.34464

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. RULE 7.1** was filed electronically via ECF and was also served via first class mail, postage prepaid, this 21st day of November, 2007, to:

Office of J. H. Tanenbaum Attorneys for Plaintiff 110 Wall Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10005 Tel: (212)422-1765

Chapman, Zaransky Law Firm Attorneys for Plaintiff 114 Old Country Road, Suite 680 Mineola, New York 11501 Tel: (516)741-6601

Kevin B. Pollak

Northern District Bar Number: 514693

Sworn to before me this 21st day of November, 2007.

MOTARY PUBLIC

APRIL D SMITH LITTLE
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01SM6085371
Qualified in Bronx County
Commission Expires 6 / 01 / 20__//

NOR'	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT PAPER A DISTRICABORNEM 1-		8 Page 4 of 4
	VEL CADELIS, Plaintif	(Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH)
GRE	YHOUND LINES, INC.,		
400 MAY 400; SULY 4005 GGG GGG	Defend		,
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURS	UANT TO F.R.C.P. I	RULE 7.1
	FABIANI COHEN & Attorneys for D GREYHOUND LE 570 Lexington Aven New York, New Y (212) 644-442	efendant INES, INC. Iue-4th Floor York 10022	
To: A	Attorney(s) for: :		
(true)	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a (certified) copy	of v	which the within is a
2007	[]NOTICE OF ENTRY was duly entered in	the within named cour	t on
o'cloc	[]NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT will be prese one of the judges of the within named court o k		
Dated	1:		
	Yours, et FABIANI COHEN & 570 Lexington Aver New York, New Y (212) 644-4	& HALL, LLP nue, 4th Floor York 10022	
To:			
Attor	ney(s) for:		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		Y
LIONEL CADELIS,		Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994
-against-	Plaintiff,	(LEK/DRH)
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,		ANSWER
	Defendant.	Jury Trial Demanded
	na mer mar mer vere vere vere vere vere vere vere	X

Defendant, GREYHOUND LINES, INC., by its attorneys, FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP, as and for an Answer to the plaintiff's Complaint, sets forth, upon information and belief, the following:

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS

FIRST: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "1" of the plaintiff's complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

SECOND: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "2" of the plaintiff's complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the determination of the Trial Court.

THIRD: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "3" of the plaintiff's complaint.

PARTIES

FOURTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "4" of the plaintiff's complaint.

FIFTH: Admits the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "5" of the plaintiff's complaint.

SIXTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "6" of the plaintiff's complaint and begs leave to refer to the pleadings at the time of trial

SUMMARY OF FACTS

SEVENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "7" of the plaintiff's complaint.

EIGHTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "8" of the plaintiff's complaint, except admits that on August 28, 2003, an employee of Greyhound, Ronald Burgess, operated an MCI bus, model 102DL3 bearing Texas registration number R7HW58, during the course of his employment with Greyhound and with Greyhound's permission and consent.

NINTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "8" of the plaintiff's complaint, except admits that on August 28, 2003, an employee of Greyhound, Ronald Burgess, operated an MCI bus, model 102DL3 bearing Texas registration number R7HW58, during the course of his employment with Greyhound and with Greyhound's permission and consent.

TENTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "10" of the plaintiff's complaint, except admits that on August 28, 2003, an employee of Greyhound, Ronald Burgess, operated an MCI bus, model 102DL3 bearing Texas registration number R7HW58, during the course of his employment with Greyhound and with Greyhound's permission and consent.

ELEVENTH: Denies the alle gations contained in Paragraph No. "11" of the plaintiff's complaint, except admits that on August 28, 2003, the subject bus which contained passengers, and which was being driven by Ronald Burgess from New York to Montreal, was traveling on Interstate I-87 in or near Elizabethtown, New York.

TWELFTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "12" of the plaintiff's complaint.

THIRTEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "13" of the plaintiff's complaint.

FOURTEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "14" of the plaintiff's complaint.

FIFTEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "15" of the plaintiff's complaint.

SIXTEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "16" of the plaintiff's complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the determination of the Trial Court.

SEVENTEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "17" of the plaintiff's complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the determination of the Trial Court.

EIGHTEENTH: Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph No. "18" of the plaintiff's complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the determination of the Trial Court.

NINETEENTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "19" of the plaintiff's complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the determination of the Trial Court.

TWENTIETH: Denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph No. "20" of the plaintiffs' complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FIRST: Upon information and belief, any damages sustained by the plaintiff herein were not caused by any negligence or carelessness on the part of Greyhound, its servants, agents or employees, but were caused solely by the negligence and carelessness of the plaintiff and that such conduct requires diminution of any award, verdict or judgment that plaintiff may recover Greyhound.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWENTY-SECOND: Notwithstanding that Greyhound has denied liability herein, in the event that liability is found, the liability of Greyhound shall be fifty percent or less of the total liability assigned to all persons liable and pursuant to CPLR §1601 et seq., the liability of Greyhound for non-economic loss shall not exceed its equitable share determined in accordance with the relative culpability of each person causing or contributing to the total liability of non-economic loss.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWENTY-THIRD: That to the extent plaintiff recovers any damages for the cost of medical care, dental care, custodial care or rehabilitation services, loss of earnings and/or other economic loss, the amount of the award shall be reduced by the sum total of all collateral reimbursements, from whatever source, whether it be insurance, social security payments,

Workers' Compensation, employee benefits or other such programs, in accordance with the provisions of the CPLR §4545.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FOURTH: Upon information and belief, the injuries sustained by plaintiff are such that they do not fall within the requirements of Insurance Law §5102, et seq.

WHEREFORE, defendant, GREYHOUND LINES, INC., demands:

- 1. Judgment dismissing the Complaint;
- 2. Together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated:

New York, New York November 21, 2007

Yours, etc.,

FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP

Kevin B. Pollak

Northern District Bar No.: 514693

Attorneys for Defendant

GREYHOUND LINES, INC.

570 Lexington Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 644-4420

TO: Jay H. Tanenbaum
OFFICE OF JAY H. TANENBAUM
110 Wall Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 422-1765

Michael B. Zaransky CHAPMAN, ZARANSKY LAW FIRM 114 Old Country Road, Suite 680 Mineola, New York 11501 (516) 741-6601 ext. 226 Lionel Cadelis v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., 8:07-CV-00994-LEK-DRH - Our File No. 818.34464

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing **ANSWER - JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** was filed electronically via ECF and was also served via first class mail, postage prepaid, this 21st day of November, 2007, to:

Office of J. H. Tanenbaum Attorneys for Plaintiff 110 Wall Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10005 Tel: (212)422-1765

Chapman, Zaransky Law Firm Attorneys for Plaintiff 114 Old Country Road, Suite 680 Mineola, New York 11501 Tel: (516)741-6601

Kevin B. Pollak

Northern District Bar Number: 514693

Sworn to before me this 21st day of November, 2007.

NOTARY PUBLIC

APRIL D SMITH LITTLE
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01SM6085371
Qualified in Bronx County
Commission Expires 6 / 01 / 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICASOF NEW YORK 1-6 Filed 03/11/2008 Page 7 of 7 LIONEL CADELIS, Civil Action No. Plaintiffs, 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH) -against-GREYHOUND LINES, INC., Defendants. ANSWER – JURY TRIAL DEMANDED FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP **Attorneys for Defendant** GREYHOUND LINES, INC. 570 Lexington Avenue-4th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 644-4420 To: Attorney(s) for: Sir(s): PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a of which the within is a (true) (certified) copy []NOTICE OF ENTRY was duly entered in the within named court on 2007 []NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT will be presented for settlement to the Hon. one of the judges of the within named court at the Courthouse at on , 2007 at o'clock Dated: Yours, etc., FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP 570 Lexington Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 644-4420 To:

Attorney(s) for:

LIONEL	CADELIS,	B. (B. 1814) B. (C. 1814) B. (C	
	C.SOC MANAGE	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH)
	- against -		COTEDITE A TEXAS
GREYHO	OUND LINES, INC.,		STIPULATION
		Defendant.	
- Afrikalı Am aro şan aro revian İsriya İsriya İsti 1-+ s	e proprieta an inches in manuscriptus de la compansa de la compansa de la compansa de la compansa de la compan La compansa de la compansa del la compansa de	4 2 TT	
Y.I.	IS HEREBY STIPULATED	AND AGKEED, by and	between the attorneys for th
parties tha	t the parties consent to the tran	nsfer of the above-entitled	action from the United State
District C	ourt, Northern District of Ne	w Fork to the United S	tates District Court, Souther
District of	New York.		
FABIANI	COHEN & HALL, LLP	OFFICE OF JAN	Y H. TANENBAUM
Attorneys GREYHO 570 Lexin	District Bar No.: 514693 for Defendant DUND LINES, INC. gton Avenue, 4 th Floor k, New York 10022	110 Wall Street, 1 New York, New (212) 422-1765	t Bar No.: 51453) intiff 16th Floor
		Michael B. Zaran Northern District Attorneys for Pla 114 Old Country Mineola, New Yo (516) 741-6601 ex	t Bar No.: sintiff Road, Suite 680 ork 11501
Dated:	New York, New York March 3, 2008		
s	O ORDERED		
***************************************	USDJ		

379894.I

		Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH)
	- against -		STIPULATION
GREYHOUN	ID LINES, INC.,		
		Defendant.	
TT IS	HERERY STIPLII ATED		between the attorneys for the
		·	•
parties that the	e parties consent to the trans	ster of the above-entitled	d action from the United States
District Court	, Northern District of Nev	v York to the United S	States District Court, Southern
District of Ne	w York.		
FABIANI CO	OHEN & HALL, LLP	OFFICE OF JA	Y H. TANENBAUM
Attorneys for GREYHOUN 570 Lexington	trict Bar No.: 514693 Defendant D LINES, INC. n Avenue, 4 th Floor ew York 10022	Jay H. Tanenbar Northern District Attorneys for Pl 110 Wall Street, New York, New (212) 422-1765	et Bar No.: aintiff 16 th Floor
(*****)	•	CHAPIYIAN, ZA	RANSKY LAW FIRM
		Attorneys for Pl	et Bar No.: S/LS 3 aintiff y Road, Suite 680 ork 11501
	New York, New York		
Dated:	March 3, 2008		

Case 8:07-cv-00994-LEK-DRH

Document 6

Filed 03/05/2008

Page 2 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
LIONEL CADELIS, - against - GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 8:07-CV-00994 (LEK/DRH) STIPULATION		
	Defendant.	ע		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the parties that the parties consent to the transfer of the above-entitled action from the United States District Court, Northern District of New York to the United States District Court, Southern District of New York.

FABIANL COHEN & HALL, LLP

Kevin B. Pollak Northern District Bar No.: 514693 **Attorneys for Defendant** GREYHOUND LINES, INC. 570 Lexington Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10022

(212) 644-4420

OFFICE OF JAY H. TANENBAUM

Jay H. Tanenbaum Northern District Bar No.: Attorneys for Plaintiff 110 Wall Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 422-1765

CHAPINAN, ZARANSKY LAW FIRM

Michael B. Zaransky

Northern District Bar No.: \$\infty

Attorneys for Plaintiff

114 Old Country Road, Suite 680 Mineola, New York 11501

(516) 741-6601 ext. 226

Dated:

New York, New York March 3, 2008

SO ORDERED

379894.1